



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/550,190

09/21/2005

Youval Katzman

27001U

7379

20529

7590

08/27/2008

NATH & ASSOCIATES  
112 South West Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

SCHNEIDER, CRAIG M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3753

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/27/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/550,190	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KATZMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CRAIG M. SCHNEIDER	<b>Art Unit</b> 3753	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CRAIG M. SCHNEIDER. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Stan Protigal. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Borden(1,936,537) and Covell(815,268).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim language was discussed in regards to amending claim 1 to overcome the prior art. A combination of the proposed amendments appear to overcome the rejection of independent claim 1 in the office action dated 5/23/08.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Gregory L. Huson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3751
--	--